



Better call Saul: Flexible Programming for Learning and Inference in NLP

Parisa Kordjamshidi, Tulane University, pkordjam@tulane.edu
Daniel Khashabi, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Christos Christodoulopoulos, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Bhargav Mangipudi, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Sameer Singh, University of California, Irvine
Dan Roth, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

COLING-2016 December 2016, Osaka, Japan

• Introducing Saul which is a declarative Learning based programming language. [Kordjamshidi et. al. IJCAI-2015]

- Introducing Saul which is a declarative Learning based programming language. [Kordjamshidi et. al. IJCAI-2015]
- Particularly, the way we have augmented it with the abstraction levels and facilities for designing various NLP tasks with arbitrary output structure with various linguistic granularities.

- Introducing Saul which is a declarative Learning based programming language. [Kordjamshidi et. al. IJCAI-2015]
- Particularly, the way we have augmented it with the abstraction levels and facilities for designing various NLP tasks with arbitrary output structure with various linguistic granularities.
 - Word level
 - Phrase level
 - Sentence level …







- Syntactic => Part of speech tagging
- Information Extraction => Entity mention / Relation extraction
- Semantic => Semantic role labeling



- Syntactic => Part of speech tagging
- Information Extraction => Entity mention / Relation extraction
- Semantic => Semantic role labeling

We often need to make a lot of programming effort and hard code to:



- Syntactic => Part of speech tagging
- Information Extraction => Entity mention / Relation extraction
- Semantic => Semantic role labeling

We often need to make a lot of programming effort and hard code to:

- Benefit from a specific structure
- (Relational) Feature extraction (Even when using representation learning techniques)

Example Tasks (1)



Example Tasks (2)

redicate

argument



label

Information[®] extraction: Entity mention relation extraction



Example Tasks (3)

All annotations: Syntax, Semantics, Mentions, Relations ...



Structured Output Models: Common Practice

For extraction and representation of features as well as for exploiting global output structure we do

Structured Output Models: Common Practice

For extraction and representation of features as well as for exploiting global output structure we do

- Task Specific Programming for Data Structures
- Model Specific Programming for Inference and Learning
- It will be hard to generalize
- It will be hard to Reuse and Reproduce results

 Local Models: Local classifiers trained/output components predicted independently (LO)

- Local Models: Local classifiers trained/output components predicted independently (LO)
- Pipelines

- Local Models: Local classifiers trained/output components predicted independently (LO)
- Pipelines
- Global Models
 - L+I: Training LO, global prediction
 - IBT: Global training and global prediction

Saul and NLP



Idea: High level abstraction for programming various configurations from local to global learning as well as building pipelines over NLP data structures.



Idea: High level abstraction for programming various configurations from local to global learning as well as building pipelines over NLP data structures.

- Data Model
 - Graph (typed nodes, edges and properties)
 - Sensors (black box functions that operate on graph's base types)



Idea: High level abstraction for programming various configurations from local to global learning as well as building pipelines over NLP data structures.

- Data Model
 - Graph (typed nodes, edges and properties)
 - Sensors (black box functions that operate on graph's base types)
- Templates for learning and inference decomposition
 - Classifiers
 - Constraints

Learning:

 $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$

Learning:

Structured output learning:

 $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ $g: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$

Learning:

Structured output learning:

 $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ $g: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$

 $h(x; W) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(x, y; W)$

Learning:

Structured output learning:







Learning:

$$h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$$
Structured output learning:

$$g: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$$
Inference

$$h(x; W) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(x, y; W)$$

$$g(x, y; W) = \langle W, f(x, y) \rangle$$
Weight vector

$$l(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} (g(x^{i}, y; W) - g(x^{i}, y^{i}; W) + \Delta(y^{i}, y))$$
Better Call Saul:...

Underlying Computational Model : Input/Output

$$\begin{aligned} g(x,y;W) &= \langle W, f(x,y) \rangle \\ \text{Weight vector} \\ \{x_1..x_K\} \quad \mathbf{l} = \{l_1,..,l_P\} \\ g(x,y;W) &= \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{1}} \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} \langle W_p, f_p(x_k,l_p) \rangle = \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{1}} \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} \langle W_p, \phi_p(x_k) \rangle l_{pk} = \\ \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{1}} \langle W_p, \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} (\phi_p(x_k) l_{pk}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Underlying Computational Model : Input/Output

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Joint feature function} \\ g(x,y;W) &= \langle W, f(x,y) \rangle \\ \text{Weight vector} \\ \{x_1..x_K\} \quad \mathbf{l} = \{l_1,..,l_P\} \\ g(x,y;W) &= \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{l}} \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} \langle W_p, f_p(x_k,l_p) \rangle = \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{l}} \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} \langle W_p, \phi_p(x_k) \rangle l_{pk} = \\ \sum_{l_p \in \mathbf{l}} \langle W_p, \sum_{x_k \in C_{l_p}} (\phi_p(x_k) l_{pk}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in addition to the constraints between labels!

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

Objective is linear in features and constraints

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

Objective is linear in features and constraints

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

Objective is linear in features and constraints

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

Objective is linear in features and constraints

$$g = \langle W, f(x, y) \rangle - \langle \rho, c(x, y) \rangle$$

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Constrained Conditional Models (CCM)

Prediction function: assign values that maximize objective

$$h(x) = \underset{y \in Y(x)}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} g(x, y; W)$$

Objective is linear in features and constraints

$$g = \langle W, f(x, y) \rangle - \langle \rho, c(x, y) \rangle$$

Compile everything in an Integer Linear Program: expressive enough to support decision making in the context of any probabilistic modeling.

[Roth & Yih '04, 07; Chang, et.al., '08, '12]

Semantic Role Labeling : Data Model

A graph in terms of typed nodes, edges and Properties

Semantic Role Labeling : Classifiers

Semantic Role Labeling : Combined Feature Functions

- Single or composed components of the input are represented with typed nodes in the graph
- All features are defined as the properties of the nodes
- Labels also applied to single components or composed components of the input (called link labels in the latter case)
- Edges are established between nodes
- The edges and properties are defined and computed based on a set of given NLP sensors

Constraints

Only legal arguments of a predicate could be assigned as a type to the candidate arguments. The legality is checked according to the Propbank frames.

```
val legalArgumentsConstraint = constraint(sentences) { x =>
val constraints = for {
    predicate <- sentences(x) ~> sentenceToPredicates
    candidateRelations = (predicates(y) ~> -relationsToPredicates)
    argLegalList = legalArguments(y)
    relation <- candidateRelations
    } yield classiferLabelIsLegal(argumentTypeLearner, relation, argLegalList)
        or (argumentTypeLearner on relation is "none")
}
def classiferLabelIsLegal(classifier, relation, legalLabels) = {
    legalLabels._exists { l => (classifier on relation is l) }
}
```

Semantic Role Labeling : Constrained Classifiers

object ArgTypeConstraintClassifier extends ConstrainedClassifier(ArgTypeLearner)

def subjectTo = srlConstraints

This Constrained Classifier now applies on a given pair candidate, BUT it uses the global constraints at the sentence level. The sentence is accessed via the edges defined in the data model that connect the relation to its original sentence.

Program Structure

val srlDataModelObject = PopulateSRLDataModel(...)

val AllMyConstrainedClassifiers= List(argTypeConstraintClassifier,...)

JointTrain(sentences, AllMyConstrainedClassifiers)

ClassifierUtils.TestClassifiers(AllMyConstrainedClassifiers)

Program Structure

val srlDataModelObject = PopulateSRLDataModel(...)

val AllMyConstrainedClassifiers= List(argTypeConstraintClassifier,...)

JointTrain(sentences, AllMyConstrainedClassifiers)

ClassifierUtils.TestClassifiers(AllMyConstrainedClassifiers)

Same amount of code for other paradigms!

Other tasks

relation

parse chunk label path length

Results : Semantic Role Labeling

Model	Precision	Recall	F1
ArgTypeLearner ^G (GOLDPREDS)	85.35	85.35	85.35
ArgTypeLearner $^{G}(GOLDPREDS) + C$	85.35	85.36	85.35
$ArgTypeLearner^{Xue}(GOLDPREDS)$	82.32	80.97	81.64
ArgTypeLearner $^{Xue}(GOLDPREDS) + C$	82.90	80.70	81.79
ArgTypeLearner ^{Xue} (PREDPREDS)	82.47	80.79	81.62
ArgTypeLearner $^{Xue}(PREDPREDS) + C$	83.62	80.54	82.05
ArgIdentifier $^{Xue} \mid$ ArgTypeLearner $^{Xue}(PREDPREDS)$	82.55	81.59	82.07
$\texttt{ArgIdentifier}^{G}(PREDPREDS)$	95.51	94.19	94.85

Table 1: Evaluation of SRL various labels and configurations. The superscripts over the different Learners refer to the whether gold argument boundaries (G) or the Xue-Palmer heuristics (Xue) were used to generate argument candidates as input. GOLD/PREDPREDS refers to whether the Learner used gold or predicted predicates. 'C' refers to the use of constraints during prediction and |denotes the pipeline architecture.

Results: PoS-Tagging and ER

Setting	Accuracy
Count-based baseline	91.80%
Unknown Classifier	77.09%
Known Classifier	94.92 %
Combined Known-Unknown	96.69%

Table 2: The performance of the POStagger, tested on sections 22–24 of the WSJ portion of the Penn Treebank (Marcus et al., 1993).

	Scenario	Precision	Recall	F1
Е	Mention Coarse-Label	77.14	70.62	73.73
	Mention Fine-Label	73.49	65.46	69.24
R	Basic	54.09	43.89	50.48
	+ Sampling	52.48	56.78	54.54
	+ Sampling + Brown	54.43	54.23	54.33
	+ Sampling + Brown + HCons	55.82	53.42	54.59

Table 3: 5-fold CV performance of the fine-grained entity (E) and relation (R) extraction on Newswire and Broadcast News section of ACE-2005.

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - **Di**rect use of **expert knowledge** beyond data instances

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms

The Novel Ideas include:

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms
- The Novel Ideas include:
- Programming for decompositions of a global optimizations that are used in training and prediction

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms
- The Novel Ideas include:
- Programming for decompositions of a global optimizations that are used in training and prediction
- An abstraction for unifying various formalisms for learning and reasoning which is an ongoing work

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms

The Novel Ideas include:

- Programming for decompositions of a global optimizations that are used in training and prediction
- An abstraction for unifying various formalisms for learning and reasoning which is an ongoing work
- A unified language for graph querying and structured learning

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.
- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms

The Novel Ideas include:

- Programming for decompositions of a global optimizations that are used in training and prediction
- An abstraction for unifying various formalisms for learning and reasoning which is an ongoing work
- A unified language for graph querying and structured learning

Better Call Saul:...

Thank you!

- Saul language facilitates modeling NLP applications that need
 - Learning and reasoning over Structures
 - Relational Feature Extraction
 - Direct use of expert knowledge beyond data instances
- Saul saves Programming time
 - in designing various configurations and experimentation
 - each configuration is expressed in a few lines of declarative code.

Thank you!

https://github.com/IllinoisCogComp/saul

- Saul increases the reusability of codes
 - when data and knowledge about the problem increases
 - when we get to use new emerging algorithms

The Novel Ideas include:

 Programming for decompositions of a global optimizations that are used in training and prediction

I have two open PhD positions and one postdoc position, please contact me at <u>pkordjam@tulane.edu</u>, if you are interested!

def textAnnotationToTree(ta: TextAnnotation): Tree[Constituent]

def textAnnotationToStringTree(ta: TextAnnotation): Tree[String]

def getPOS(x: Constituent): String

def getLemma(x: Constituent): String

def getSubtreeArguments(currentSubTrees: List[Tree[Constituent]]): List[Tree[Constituent]]

def xuPalmerCandidate(x: Constituent, y: Tree[String]): List[Relation]

def fexContextFeats(x: Constituent, featureExtractor: WordFeatureExtractor): String

Better Call Saul:...

....